

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/784,622	02/14/2001	Frederik Ekkel	000117	2720
24738	7590 08/23/2006		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			KANG, PAUL H	
1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	CA 95131	2144		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,622	EKKEL, FREDERIK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul H. Kang	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-13 and 19-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-13 and 19-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
<u> </u>	_		
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) 🗔 Into-dow Sweet-	(/PTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summany Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - a. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - b. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - c. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - d. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-13 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLain, US Pat. No. 6,493,758 in view of Taylor, US Patent No. 6,643,510, and further in view of Herrod, US Pat. App. No. 2001/0055978 A1.
- 4. As per claim 1, McLain discloses the invention substantially as claimed. McLain teaches for use in an offline environment access to an information content that would otherwise be

available except for being offline, a method of providing to an individual access to the information content (McLain, col. 2, lines 26-34), the method comprising:

at a terminal, providing access over an information network to a repository hosting the information content (McLain, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 53);

causing transfer of the information content over the network from the repository to a mobile storage medium (McLain, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 53); and

providing use of the information content from the storage medium to the individual in the offline environment (McLain, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 53).

However, McLain does not explicitly teach the terminal being one of an airport, a spaceport, a boat dock, a train station, and a bus stop.

In the same field of endeavor, Taylor teaches a method of providing communication while traveling on an airplane or similar mobile platforms (See Taylor, Abstract and Summary). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a mobile platform such as an airplane into the offline viewing system of McLain since airplanes are a common form for travel, suitable for a offline internet viewing system.

Further, McLain-Taylor do not explicitly teach the access over an information network being achieved via a publicly accessible access point apparatus. In the same field of endeavor, Herrod teaches a portable data terminal and cradle for storing information over a network via a publicly accessible access point (The mobile device 500 retrieves information over a nearby access point, and stores the information locally for later retrieval and access. Herrod, ¶¶0234-0235).

Art Unit: 2144

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the publicly accessible access point of Herrod into the mobile network devices of McLain-Taylor for the purpose of implementing a widely used and accepted means of mobile device network access.

- 5. As per claim 4, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach the information network includes the Internet (McLain, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 53).
- 6. As per claim 5, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach the transfer of the information content is enabled over a wireless communication channel (McLain, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 53).
- 7. As per claim 6, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teaches the invention as claimed wherein the storage medium includes an Optical storage medium (McLain, col. 5, line 66 col. 6, line 54).
- 8. As per claim 7, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach that the storage medium is provided to the individual when entering a means of transportation (McLain, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 53 and Taylor, Summary).
- 9. As per claim 8, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach identifying the individual; upon identification, enabling the individual to select the information content from a plurality of information contents (McLain, col. 7, line 32 col. 8, line 36).

Application/Control Number: 09/784,622 Page 5

Art Unit: 2144

10. As per claim 9, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach enabling the individual enter identification information; selecting the information content based on the identification information (McLain, col. 7, line 32 – col. 8, line 36).

- 11. As per claim 10, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach that the information content is selected based on a profile of the individual (McLain, col. 7, line 32 col. 8, line 36).
- 12. As per claim 11, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach charging a fee for transferring the information content (McLain, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 53 and Taylor, background).
- 13. As per claims 12, McLain-Taylor-Herrod discloses at a transit terminal, providing access over an information network to a repository hosting the information content; at the transit terminal, enabling to transfer the information content over the network between the repository and a mobile storage medium, (McLain, col. 3, line 40 col. 4, line 53 and Taylor, Summary);

assigning a traveling location to the individual in a means of transportation (such as an assigned seat on an airplane), the means of transportation including the mobile storage medium; and, providing at the assigned traveling location an apparatus to enable the individual to access the information content (Taylor, col. 5, lines 54-67).

14. As per claim 13, McLain-Taylor-Herrod discloses the information content is determined from a plurality of information contents on the basis of a profile of the individual (McLain, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 53).

Application/Control Number: 09/784,622

Art Unit: 2144

15.

Page 6

content to a passenger of an aircraft, comprising: determining the information content that is of

As per claim 19, McLain-Taylor-Herrod discloses a method of providing information

interest to the passenger, before the aircraft departs a terminal, transferring the information

content from an information network to a storage medium, and providing the information content

from the storage medium to the passenger while the aircraft is in flight (McLain, col. 3, line 40 -

col. 4, line 53 and col. 7, line 32 – col. 8, line 36 and Taylor, col. 5, lines 54-67).

16. As per claims 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25, McLain-Taylor-Herrod discloses determining the

information content based on a predetermined profile that is associated with the passenger,

transferring the information content automatically, equipping the aircraft with a storage medium,

providing content and receiving information from user via network onboard, and providing a

viewing device to the passenger (McLain, col. 3, line 40 – col. 4, line 53 and col. 7, line 32 – col.

8, line 36).

17. As to claim 26, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach assigning a seat to the individual in the

aircraft, and, providing access to the information content at the assigned seat (Taylor, col. 5, lines

54-67).

18. Claims 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLain-

Taylor-Herrod, and further in view of McCarten et al., US Pat. No. 6,047,127.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2144

19. As to claim 27, McLain-Taylor-Herrod teach the invention substantially as claimed. However, McLain-Taylor-Herrod do not explicitly teach charging a fee for transferring the information content. In the same field of endeavor, McCarten teaches a electronic communication system wherein users are provided fee for service network access (all services provided in flight are fee for service benefits. McCarten, col. 1, line 17 – col. 2, line 17 and col. 11, lines 9-26).

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicants argued in substance that the prior art of record failed to teach a publicly accessible access point. The new grounds of rejection teaches this feature.

Further, applicants argue that there is no suggestion in either McLain or Taylor to combine teachings. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both McLain and Taylor teach a system and method to enable reliable network data availability. While McLain achieves this by offline viewing of content, this is not

Art Unit: 2144

incompatible with Taylor's realtime availability and content scheduling. In fact, the two approaches are complementary.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/784,622

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Faul H. Kang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2144

Page 9